AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) Attorney Docket No.: Q78750

U.S. Application No.: 10/802,010

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on

October 23, 2007:

During the above-noted telephonic interview with the Examiner on October 23, 2007, the

Examiner and Applicant's undersigned representative agreed that Conley did not teach such a

feature, "without changing flash memory state information written in a previous physical block,"

where the flash memory state information had been written in a previous physical block. The

Examiner also agreed that if claims 1 and 11 were amended to positively recite that written

blocks flash memory state information, such an amendment would overcome the current

rejection. Accordingly Applicant herein amends claims 1 and 11 consistent with the above-noted

agreement reached during the telephonic interview with the Examiner on October 23, 2007.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF

INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems

otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such

extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

9